NYE County NWRPO - Technical Data Report

| RID No | o. Transn | nitter | Org. | Receiver | Org | · Key word1 | Title/Description | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 5439 | Gilmore | | NYE | Qarc | NYE | 22S | NC-EWDP-22S Water Geochemistry Data Analyzed by | | | | | | |
| Doc. Date | 1/10/2003 | General Doc. Type | QA PROGRAM DOC DATA | | Keyword2 Keyword3 | H2O ANALYSES | NEL Laboratories | | | | | | |
| Entry Date | 1/13/2003 | Detailed Doc. Type | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ata Originator Preparer | Kathy Gilmore | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Title of Data | NC-EWDP-22S Water Geochemistry Data Analyzed by NEL Laboratories | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| escription of Data | Water geochemistry data from water obtained 9/9/02 to 9/11/02 during EWDP-22S sampling event. Data is included for the following water samples: GWS 0021 - 22S zone 4; GWS 0022 - 22S zone 3; GWS 0023 - 22S zone 2; GWS 0024 - 22S zone 1; and GWS 0031 - 22S zone 3 blind field duplicate. Included in the data package: case narrative, analytical results direct from database, original transfer of custody form, analytical QC summary report, processed data in excel format, and relative percent difference values for analyses of GWS 0022 and GWS 0031. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ata Collection Method | Groundwater was pumped to the ground surface with a submersible impeller pump. The well was purged and samples collected, processed, bottled, and shipped to the testing laboratory following standard methods documented in Nye County QA technical procedure TP-8.1. Groundwater samples were analyzed by NEL Laboratories using EPA procedures listed in the attached analytical results database report. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Location(s) | NC-EWDP-22S | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ata Collection Period(s) | 9/9/02 to 9/11/02 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Source(s) | NEL Laborat | tories, Las Vegas, | NV. Refer to | o RID 5196 for fiel | d chemistr | y parameters. | | | | | | | |
| Data Censuring | None | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Processing | NEL processed data from database into excel spreadsheets which included the following limits: instrument detection, method detection and reporting. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Limitations | Analytical results for all chemical parameters of concern in all laboratory QC samples (method blanks, laboratory control spikes, laboratory control spike duplicates, matrix spikes, and matrix spike duplicates) met standard laboratory QC specifications. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Relative percent difference values (RPDs) between the blind duplicate sample (field QA sample) and the original sample from NC-EWDP-22S zone 3 are generally less than 30% (an acceptable value) for most analytes. Higher RPD values found for number of analytes present at low concentrations (e.g. trace metals and gross alpha and beta) were not unexpected and do not necessarily indicate field or laboratory error. However, an unacceptably high RPD for orthophosphate (200%) suggests field and/or laboratory error. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Governing QA Docs. | TP-8.1, Rev 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Frequency of Transmittal | As required by PI | | | | | | | | | | | | |

NYE County NWRPO - Technical Data Report

| RID No. | Transmitter | Org. | Receiver | Org. | Key word1 | Title/Description | | | | |
|---|-------------|------|----------|------|-----------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Direct Questions Non County OA Pacarda Contar | | | | | | | | | | |
| About Data | | | | | | | | | | |
| To- | | | | | | | | | | |